

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

STEVEN WAYNE BONILLA,
Plaintiff,
v.
BRIAN R. ARONSON,
Defendant.

No. 2:18-cv-1363-EFB P

ORDER

Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in an action brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff has not filed an application to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915 or paid the filing fee for this action.

The federal venue statute provides that a civil action “may be brought in (1) a judicial district in which any defendant resides, if all defendants are residents of the State in which the district is located, (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of property that is the subject of the action is situated, or (3) if there is no district in which an action may otherwise be brought as provided in this action, any judicial district in which any defendant is subject to the court’s personal jurisdiction with respect to such action.” 28 U.S.C. § 1331(b).

In this case, the sole defendant – Judge Brian Aronson of the Sutter County Superior Court – is located in this district. However, it is evident from the complaint and its attachments

1 that plaintiff is attempting to challenge the judgment of conviction imposed upon him by the
2 Alameda County Superior Court, which lies in the Northern District of California. Therefore, the
3 court finds that the convenience of the parties and witnesses and the interests of justice are better
4 served by transferring this action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of
5 California.

6 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this matter is transferred to the United
7 States District Court for the Northern District of California. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a).

8 Dated: May 30, 2018.

9 
10 EDMUND F. BRENNAN
11 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28